NORTH AUGUSTA MIDDLE 725 Old Edgefield Rd North Augusta, SC 29841 6-8 Middle School GRADES ENROLLMENT 572 Students Barry N HEAD 803-442-6200 PRINCIPAL SUPERINTENDENT Dr. Linda B. Eldridge 803-641-2428 Dr. John B. Bradley 803-641-2431 BOARD CHAIR THE STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA ANNUAL SCHOOL 2003 REPORT CARD ABSOLUTE RATING: GOOD Absolute Ratings of Middle Schools with Students like Ours Excellent Good Average Below Average Unsatisfactory 22 IMPROVEMENT RATING: UNSATISFACTORY ADEQUATE YEARLY PROGRESS: ND This school met 17 out of 19 objectives. The objectives included performance and participation of students in various groups and student attendance rate. SOUTH CAROLINA PERFORMANCE GOAL By 2010, South Carolina's student achievement will be ranked in the top half of the states nationally. To achieve this goal, we must become one of the fastest improving systems in the country. FOR MORE INFORMATION, VISIT WEBSITES AT: WWW.MYSCSCHOOLS.COM

WWW.SCEOC.ORG

North Augusta Middle

PERFORMANCE TRENDS OVER 4-YEAR PERIOD

Our School

Below Basic

	Absolute Rating	Improvement Rating	Adequate Yearly Progress
2001	Good	Below Average	N/A
2002	Good	Below Average	N/A
2003	Good	Unsatisfactory	No
2004			

PALMETTO ACHIEVEMENT CHALLENGE TESTS (PACT) RESULTS

Middle Schools with Students like Ours

Mathematics English/Language Arts **Mathematics** English/Language Arts

Definition of Critical Terms

Very high score; very well prepared to work at next grade level; Advanced exceeded expectations **Proficient** Well prepared to work at next grade level; met expectations Met standards; minimally prepared, can go to next grade level Basic Did not meet standards; must have an academic assistance plan;

the local board policy determines progress to the next grade level

NOTE: Science and social studies are to be included in the 2005 school report card.

EVALUATIONS BY TEACHERS, STUDENTS, AND PARENTS

	Teachers	Students	Parents
Number of surveys returned	32	169	121
Percent satisfied with learning environment	86.7%	74.1%	81.4%
Percent satisfied with social and physical environment	77.4%	83.1%	64.2%
Percent satisfied with home-school relations	80.0%	85.5%	83.3%

PERFORMANCE BY	
PERFURMANUE BY	

	/	ent resting	, reg	1885 V	_eic /	Siciel.	"ance"	ient nee
	Emolit	Rent Testing	Tested old	alom Bash of	Basic ol	Proficient of	Advance Prof	cient charge
	/ V (0/0,	odish/La	nguage A	/	\ olo .	/ ઙ
All students	581	98.8	24.9	43.1	27.3	4.7	32.0	17.6
Gender	. 301	00.0	24.0	40.1	21.0	7.7	02.0	17.0
Male	297	98.3	29.5	43.3	24.3	3.0	27.2	17.6
Female	284	99.3	20.2	43.0	30.4	6.5	36.9	17.6
Racial/Ethnic Group								
White	348	98.9	15.5	44.4	33.4	6.7	40.1	17.6
African-American	189	98.4	35.8	44.8	17.6	1.8	19.4	17.6
Asian/Pacific Islander	3	100.0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	17.6
Hispanic	35	100.0	64.3	25.0	10.7	N/A	10.7	17.6
American Indian/Alaskan	1	100.0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	17.6
Disability Status								
Not disabled	540	98.9	23.4	42.2	29.3	5.1	34.3	17.6
Disabled	41	97.6	44.4	55.6	N/A	N/A	N/A	17.6
Migrant Status								
Migrant	N/A	0.0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	17.6
Non-migrant	581	98.8	24.1	43.3	27.8	4.8	32.6	17.6
English Proficiency								
Limited English proficient	9	100.0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	17.6
Non-limited English proficient	572	98.8	24.3	43.4	27.5	4.8	32.3	17.6
Socio-Economic Status								
Subsidized meals	211	98.6	46.2	40.7	11.5	1.6	13.2	17.6
Full-pay meals	370	98.9	13.8	44.4	35.5	6.3	41.8	17.6
All students	504	00.5	10.0		matics	40.7	27.7	45.5
Gender	581	99.5	19.9	42.4	24.0	13.7	37.7	15.5
Male	297	99.0	21.6	37.7	25.0	15.7	40.7	15.5
Female		100.0	18.1		23.0			15.5
Racial/Ethnic Group	284	100.0	18.1	47.2	23.0	11.7	34.7	15.5
White	348	99.1	11.5	41.5	29.4	17.6	47.0	15.5
African-American	189	100.0	30.7	45.2	15.7	8.4	24.1	15.5
Asian/Pacific Islander	3	100.0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	15.5
Hispanic	35	100.0	53.6	35.7	7.1	3.6	10.7	15.5
American Indian/Alaskan	1	100.0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	15.5
Disability Status		100.0	IN/A	IN//	IN/A	IN/A	IN/A	13.3
Not disabled	540	99.8	17.1	43.0	25.3	14.7	40.0	15.5
Disabled	41	95.1	60.0	34.3	5.7	N/A	5.7	15.5
Migrant Status	7.	30.1	30.0	5 1.0	J.,	. 4// 1	J.,	70.0
Migrant	N/A	0.0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	15.5
Non-migrant	581	99.5	19.5	42.4	24.4	13.7	38.2	15.5
English Proficiency								
Limited English proficient	9	100.0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	15.5
Non-limited English proficient	572	99.5	19.4	42.5	24.2	13.9	38.1	15.5
Casia Faanamia Ctatus								

38.5

10.3

99.5

99.5

Socio-Economic Status
Subsidized meals

Full-pay meals

211

370

42.9

42.2

29.1

18.5

18.7

47.6

15.5

15.5

PACT PERFORMANCE BY GRADE LEVEL

		Enrolle	and Jo	rest ologi	JOH OF	Bas 0/0	Sko.	Adva olo brotil
		\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \	87	/ (-				0/0,
				English	n/Langua	ge Arts		
	Grade 3	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Grade 4	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
2002	Grade 5	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
8	Grade 6	213	N/A	17.2	40.4	34.0	8.4	42.4
	Grade 7	214	N/A	18.6	48.6	29.0	3.8	32.9
•	Grade 8	214	N/A	18.3	48.4	25.4	8.0	33.3
	Grade 3	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Grade 4	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
2003	Grade 5	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
20	Grade 6	195	98.5	23.8	34.3	33.7	8.1	41.9
	Grade 7	189	98.9	25.0	45.5	26.7	2.8	29.5
	Grade 8	197	99.0	25.7	49.2	21.9	3.3	25.1

		Mathematics										
	Grade 3	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A				
	Grade 4	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A				
2002	Grade 5	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A				
20	Grade 6	213	N/A	20.2	46.8	19.7	13.3	33.0				
	Grade 7	214	N/A	26.7	26.2	19.0	28.1	47.1				
•	Grade 8	214	N/A	16.9	59.6	16.4	7.0	23.5				
	Grade 3	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A				
	Grade 4	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A				
2003	Grade 5	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A				
20	Grade 6	195	99.5	21.4	38.2	25.4	15.0	40.5				
	Grade 7	189	99.5	20.9	39.5	20.3	19.2	39.5				
	Grade 8	197	99.5	17.5	49.2	26.2	7.1	33.3				

SCHOOL PROFILE

C	ur School	Change from Last Year	Middle Schools with Students Like Ours	Median Middle School
Students (n= 572)				
Students enrolled in high school credit courses (grades 7 & 8)	19.4%	Down from 20.7%	26.6%	14.4%
Retention rate	0.7%	Down from 2.5%	2.2%	2.3%
Attendance rate Eligible for gifted and talented	95.0%	Down from 95.9%	95.6%	95.2%
	26.5%	Up from 22.4%	21.5%	13.6%
On academic plans On academic probation	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
With disabilities other than speech Older than usual for grade	7.2%	Up from 5.1%	11.3%	14.1%
	3.0%	Down from 3.1%	3.1%	4.9%
Suspended or expelled	1.7%	Up from 1.0%	1.7%	1.3%
Annual dropout rate	0.0%	No change	0.0%	0.0%
Teachers (n= 34)				
Teachers with advanced degrees Continuing contract teachers	17.6%	Down from 21.1%	50.0%	47.1%
	67.6%	Down from 71.1%	83.8%	82.5%
Highly qualified teachers Teachers returning from previous year	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	80.8%	Down from 83.2%	87.1%	84.3%
Teacher attendance rate Average teacher salary	94.6%	Down from 94.9%	95.4%	95.0%
	\$38,851	Up 0.2%	\$40,921	\$39,924
Prof. development days/teacher	9.1 days	Down from 9.7 days	10.2 days	10.7 days
School				
Principal's years at school	5.0	Up from 4.0	5.0	3.0
Student-teacher ratio	18.9 to 1	Up from 18.0 to 1	22.1 to 1	21.0 to 1
Prime instructional time Dollars spent per pupil*	88.0%	Down from 89.2%	90.1%	88.9%
	\$4,314	Up 4.2%	\$5,406	\$5,854
Percent spent on teacher salaries* Opportunities in the arts	66.5%	Up from 62.9%	63.5%	62.0%
	Good	No change	Good	Good
Parents attending conferences SACS accreditation	87.1%	Down from 88.8%	95.1%	94.8%
	yes	N/A	yes	yes

^{*} Prior year audited financial data are reported.

	Our District	State	
Highly qualified teachers in low poverty schools	N/A	N/A	
Highly qualified teachers in high poverty schools	N/A	N/A	

				-
Δhhra	wiati∧n	e tor i	Missina	I lata

						Ū			
1	N/A	Not Applicable	N/C	Not Collected	N/R	Not Reported	I/S	Insufficient Sample	

North Augusta Middle

REPORT OF PRINCIPAL AND SCHOOL IMPROVEMENT COUNCIL

North Augusta Middle School had a very productive year. Under the direction of Assistant Principal Denise Mulherin, we successfully completed our five year Southern Association Review. Also, we applied for and received the prestigious South Carolina Red Carpet School award! We are very proud of this recognition as it reflects our commitment to being a family friendly school. Our entire faculty and staff are to be commended for their efforts!

We continued to make gains across the board in our PACT scores. In preparation for continued growth in testing, our teachers have incorporated new strategies to help students reach their maximum potential. Additionally, we added recognition ceremonies at the end of each nine weeks to celebrate academic achievement. We had 33 Junior Scholars and a record number of students who qualified for Junior Beta Club.

NAMS continues to strive toward excellence in all facets of our curriculum. Together with parents and other civic minded community members, NAMS is moving forward to provide our students with excellent educational opportunities.

DEFINITIONS OF SCHOOL RATING TERMS

- Excellent School performance substantially exceeds the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- Good School performance exceeds the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- Average School performance meets the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- Below Average School is in jeopardy of not meeting the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- Unsatisfactory School performance fails to meet the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal

DEFINITION OF ADEQUATE YEARLY PROGRESS

As required by the United States Department of Education, adequate yearly progress specified that the statewide target is met for all students and for each subgroup of students: racial/ethnic, economic, disability, limited English proficiency and migrant status.